

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

May: but it was not my fortune to see them sooner 5 which if I had, I might have been able probably to have made my account better. But notwithstanding it is imperfect, it may, I hope, introduce better from others: or however be a testimony of my great Veneration for the August Royal Society, and obedience unto the commands thereof, who at one of their Meetings some time since, were pleased to desire me to procuse, or give an account of this matter.

IV. Some Observations concerning the Invention and Progress of Printing, to the Year 1465. Occasioned by by the Reverend Mr Ellis's Letter, exhibited in Phil Trans. No 286. Pag. 1416.

Harlaem by Laurence Koster, agreeing so well with the account given by Theodore Schrevelius and others, leaves us little or no room to doubt (whomust needs take it for granted that his Observation is accurate, and the Dates to be true;) whether the Honour of the Invention be due to this or the other Cities, whose Writers have so eagerly contended for it; since none of them have pretended to shew any Book Printed so soon as A. D. 1430 or 1432, or near that time. But the difficulty lies, either in shewing why the Practice of the shrt should be at a stand from A. D. 1432, to the noted Reviving of it at Mentz by John Fust and Peter Schoeffer, who sait has been vulgarly, but erroneously said) did Print the first Printed Book there A. D. 1465, namely, Tully's Offices: or else, in giving any tolerable account of the Progress of this Invention during an Interval of above 30 years.

Boxhornius (as I remember) as well as Schrevelius and other Authors, do expressly say, ( and if they had not, it enight well be imagind) that Koster could not advance this Invention so far, as to Print so large a Work as the Speculum Salutis without gradual Improvements; and that his first Essays were on loose and small leaves of Paper, before he attempted whole Books. These being loose and single, are supposed to be all lost: but I once observed a loose leaf of Faper in Ostavo, lying in an old MS. Breviary in her Majesty's Royal Library at St James's, which I then thought ( and am still in the same opinion, that 'twas one of Koster's first Pieces; done when he had attain'd to some Experience in the Art, and to get Money. Tis a little rude Wooden Cut. of the five Wounds of our Blessed Saviour, and the Instru. ments of his Passion, with a Latin Inscription at the bottom, to this purport, that Those who should say so many Ave Maria's before it, should have so many thousand years of Pardon. In this Cut or Print, the Ink which made it was Writing Ink, and 'twas all Black, without those other Colours with which Koster seems afterwards to have adorn'd his Books.

In the abovementioned Boxhornius's Book de Origine Artis Typographicæ, 'tis said, that Hadrianus Junius had a Book Printed by Koster, and like that kept in the Chest at Harlaem: Now amongst those Bequeath'd to the Bodleyan Library at Oxford, by Mr Francis Junius (who was a Kinsman of Hadrian's) there is a thin Book in a small Folio (Numbred 31.) which may probably be the same; and which Mr Foss, a learned and curious Danish Gentleman, did assure me was very like to that at Harlaem. This contains the Sum of the History of the Old Testament, all represented in rude Wooden Cuts, colored with divers colors, without Shadows like to our Cards, (which, with Sheet-Ballads, are remains of the old manner of Printing,) and Stamp'd upon one Side only; the white sides of two Leaves being pasted

together; the Black both in the Pictures and Inscriptions

which shew the meaning of them, being \* Writing Ink, (as the aforesaid Leaf) inartificially spread upon the Wooden Block; here thick, there thin; spreading and yellowish; the Letters extreamly rude, and all together manifestly shewing that the Art was yet in its Infancy. The Stamping of this Book on one Side only, was not (as some think) because the Printer did not know how to dispose the Pages in such manner, as might be proper and easy for the Book-Binders use; for it has its

\* It is to be wish'd that MrEllis, when he had Koker's Books in his Hands, had observed whether the Black Ink, was Printing Ink on not; whether Koster's Picture was antient, and colonr'd or not; or if there were more in either of the Books; whether the whole was Cut upon Wood, or Compos'd with Printing Letter; whether there were Signatures for the Book-Binders, &c.

Signatures all along in Minuscule Letters, (set in the middle of the Page, which is remarkable;) but because it was thought that the Paper would not bear a second impression on the back side; just as the Book-writers of those times (when Paper began to be cheap, and to be made up into Books) would yet have the first and last Leaf of each Quaternio, Senio, &c. to be of Parchment for strength's sake. This Book (as I remember) is imperfect, and has no Date now appearing, and perhaps never had any; neither has such another Book as this, which contains the History of St John and the Apocaluple, in such like wooden coloured Pistures and Inscriptions. This is inscribed L A U D. E. 65. in the same Bodleyan Library, and has its Signatures, also in Majuscule Letters (as indeed I have observed Signatures in many MSS. of different Ages, as high as 1000 years ago and upwards, expressed either by Letters or Numbers.) This Book, the Printed on one Side, and Pasted as the former; is yet more elegant, and shews that the Art was much improved. And here it may not be impertinent to observe, that in the same Library (Arch. B. Bodl. 88.) is an antient MSS with the same Figures and Inscriptions, tho the Habits of the Figures be different, those of the MS being of the older Fashion, and 'tis

very likely that there is another Copy of this Book in the Emperors Library at Vienna; for Lambecius, Comment. de Biblioth. Caf. Lib. II. Pag. 772. reckons amongst those which he brought away from the Archi-ducal Library at Inspruck, a Book of which he gives this Account, Apocalyph's S. Joannis Apostoli & Evangelista Latino Germanica, chartacea in folio. una cum l'ita ipseus, & mullis siguris ligno incisis, que propter vetustatem suam spectatu sunt dignissimæ, and in this Book at Oxford, besides the Printed Cuts, also a Commentary upon the Apocalypse in High-Dutch. Besides these two most antient Printed Books, Mr Bagford told me that in the Manuscript Library of Corpus Christi or Bennet College in Cambridge he saw a third, containing the History of our Saviour, Printed on one Side only of the Paper, with such like Wooden Cuts; but yet more neatly than either of the tormer, which I had before shewn him at Oxford. And these three Books, being as is before faid, Stamp'd but on one side of the Leaf; the whole wrought or cut upon Wood; not Set or Composed with Printing Letter; and Printed with Writing Ink; do sufficiently demonstrate that the Art was as yet in its Infancy; and may, tho they bear no Workmons Name, be very reasonably ascribed to Koster, not only because no body else lays claim to 'em, but because in divers Circumstances they agree with the History of the Man, and with what remains of his Workmanship. If it beasked why Koffer did not set his Name, and the Year to these Books. as well as to that at Harlaem, mentioned by Mr Ellis? It may be answer'd, that Schrevelius tell us that Koster bound Fust above. mentioned, by Oath to secrecy, and not to betray the Art to any person whatsoever. Wherein 'tis likedy, that his design was not so much to let the World think. that he had a new way of multiplying the Copy of a Book much quicker than the quickest Penman; but that he defigned to Impose upon the World, by selling his Printed Books, for New-Written Copies, whereby the Book-Writer and Illuminator must (as he might well pretend) be so paid for

for their Work, as to maintain themselves and Families. This Trick might be long undiscovered in and about Harlaem. because there was no other Printing, whereby this might be condemn'd, but at length, as Boxhornius and Schrevelius write, Fust ran away with all his Masters Tools and Materials, and in Process of time set up a Printers Shop at Mentz, being alfifted by his Servant Peter Schoeffer (a Young Man of a good Genius) who afterwards Married his Daughter, and became his Partner in the Business. The Story goes, that this John Fust went to Paris (but whether before or after his fettling at Mentz, I cannot tell:) and that he there offer'd a great number of Printed Bibles to sale, as if they were Manuscripts. But the French were not to be so caught. They confidered the number of these Books, and their exact conformity to one another throughout the whole, to a Line, a Word, a Letter, a Point, and that the best of Book. Writers could not be thus exact, and therefore by Indicting him of Diabolical Magic (or threating him with it) they at once gave birth to the Story of Doctor Faustus, and caused him to discover the Art. And I doubt not but about this time. very many Books were Printed and Sold for Manuscripts. I having seen divers such Books without Dates, which look d rather older than any I have seen with them. I speak now of those that are Set or Compos'd of Letter, which with Printing-Ink of Lamp-Black and Oyl, and the Printing-Press. is said to be the Improvement of Schoeffer, above mentioned, the Schrevelius with less reason ascribes the two former to his Countryman Koster.

When Fust and Schoeffer began sust to Work at Men z is uncertain, but the sust mention 1 sind of him, as a Printer at Mentz, is in Schrevelius, Harlem pag. 272. where he says that this Fust (or Faustus as he callshim) published Alexandri Dostrinale cum Petri Hispani trastatibus A. D. 1442 but this and some other Books mentioned by Writers on this subject, are never said to be extant in any particular place, in order to be consulted upon occasion; and therefore their Titles

and Dates are not so much to be relied upon. But another Date, which the not so Old, is more Authentic, may be found. in the above cited Book of Lambecius, Pag. 989, where he Tays he brought away from Inspruck amongst other choice Volumes, and placed in the Imperial Library at Vienna, a Pfalter Printed upon Parchment, with this Inscription at the end, Prasens Psalmorum codex venustate Capitalium decoratus, Rubricationibusq; sufficienter distinctus, adinventione artificiosa imprimendi ac characterizandi, absque calami ulla exaratione sic estigiatus. & ad ensebiam dei industrie est consummatus per Johannem Fust, Givem Moguntinum, & Petrum Schoffer de Gernszheim, anno Domini millesimo CCCCLVII. in Vigilia Assumptionis. From this time there are constant Remains of the Industry of these Men, and I can mention more Books Printed by them, than the Durandus (in the Library of Basil in Switzerland) Printed (as a Gentleman who saw it, told me) A. D. 1458. Joannes Joannensis's Catholicon (in her Majesty's and the Lord Bishop of Norwich's Libraries, ) Printed 1460. The Latin Bible of 1462, yet extant in the French Kings Library, and in divers Monasteries beyond the Seas, and perhaps in England. The Tully's Offices Printed both in 1465 and 1466, (if both these be not the same Edition. the last Sheet or Leaf being Compos'd asresh: ) and so on till Schoeffer work'd for himself after the Death of Fust, and Schoeffer's Posterity after him. But I willingly forbear the Catalogue, in hopes that this, with that of the other old Printers throughout Europe, and especially of our English Workmen, with their Devices, the Effigies of most of them, and a multitude of uncommon Remarks relating to Writing, Printing, Parchment, Paper, Binding, &c. will be communicated to the World, when Mr Bagford's Papers shall be digested; nor do I think it ingenuous or honest to prevent him in these his Labors, which have cost him so many Years Pains.

A word or two more I take leave to add, that upon the first discovery of the Art by Fust at Paris, or at his first Settle

Settlement or publick Profession of it at Mentz, it quick-

ly spread over the best parts of Europe, sand was commonly used in other Countries before 'twas known in England (notwithstanding what some Writers do assirm to the contrary;) the first Book that we pretend to have been Printed here, being Hierome (or rather

From these Places, and from Books, or parts of Books, where, or wherein such sorts of Lester was used, the Printers do still call their Latter, Italick, Reman, English, &cc. Austin, Cannon, Pica, Primer, Brevier, &c.

Rusinus) on the Creed, Printed at Oxford, A. D. 1468.

To prove this in some measure (not to mention the progress of Printing in other Countries) I instance in Italy, and particularly Rome. Here, not to insist on the large Catalogue of Printed Books described in an Epistle to Pope Xystus IV. Published at the beginning of the V. Tome of the Bible printed with Lyra's Commentaries at Rome, A. D. 1472, and transcribed by Boxhornius; I. shall only relate the sum of what I meet with in Bernard Mountfaucon's Diarium Italicum, Tom. 1. page 255, 256. 'Tis that Joannes Aleriensis, in a slattering Epistle to Pope Paul II. ( who was elected A. D. 1464.) congratulates him, because Printing was first us'd at Rome, under his Pontisicat. Which if spoken of the very first practice of the Art at Rome, and not of an established Imprimery, seems to be false, because this learned Monk, in the same place, says he saw a Lactantius in the Museum (or Study) of Monsieur de la Thuilliere, which has these words at the End, Lactantii Firmiani Institutiones cusa in venerabili Monasterio Sublacensi anno 1461. antepenultima Octobris. Now unless a man will suppose Printing to be invented in this Monastery, he must believe it to be brought hither from Rome, which is but about 20 Miles distant from it. And the same Author savs. that Floravantes Martinellus in his Roma Sacra, affirms that Printing was practifed at Rome in the Palace of the Maximi, A. D. 1455, under Pope Nicolaus V. by Conrad Sweynheim and Arnold Pannartz, who were both Germans, and continued Printers there for many Years after.

The Custom of putting the Dates of Printed Books at the End of them, was taken up in Imitation of divers the middle. aged and recenter Manuscripts (for I never saw or heard of any antient Manuscript in Capital Letters, either Geeek or Latin, which has a profess'd Date written in the first hand; ) but here the Inspector ought to be cautious, lest he be led into an Error: For several Manuscripts at the End have a Date, which may be by some understood of the time when those individual Copies were written, whenas they only notifie the time when the Author finish'd his Work. And some of these Dates, being printed from the Manuscripts, have deceived many curious men. For Example, the first Edition of Lyndwood, Paulus a San&a Maria, and others which I could name. Besides, some Dates in antient Printed Books, being not corrected, are false; such as a Book printed in the beginning of the 16th Century, in the Library belonging to the Ashmolean Museum at Oxford, which thus pretends to 400 or 500 years of Age. A Julius Hyginus once shewed to me by Mr Millington the Bookseller, printed at Paris (as there put down) An. Dom. MCCCCXII. instead of MCCCCCXII. For the Printer is mention'd as then living in l'Origine de l'Imprimerie de Paris. indeed a Book wherein, amongst other Tracts, is one of an old Print, at the end of which there feems to be such a Mistake, tho not so easily rectified as the former. The words are these, Explicit opusculum Enee Sylvii de duebus amantibus in Civitate Leydensi Anno Domini Millesimo CCCC quadragesimo tertio LEIEN. Now tho' Leyden seems to be the place where twas printed, yet 1443 cannot be the time when; for just before Sylvius says himself, Vale, ex Vienna quinto Nonas Julias Mo CCCC quadragesimo gnarto. Sylvius was elected Pope by the name of Pius 1. A. D. 1458, and died A. D. 1464. Now it may feem probable, that if this Tract was printed after his Election (as suppose A. D. 1463.) or even after his Decease, his Papal Dignity might have been remembred; If it be judg'd to bave been printed

printed before his Election, I know none that will allow of

Printing at Leyden, (or even in Lyons) so very early.

One Objection may be urg'd against what is said of Koster's or the old printed Books above-mentioned, being printed upon Paper about A. D. 1430, or soon after. some Authors are of opinion, that Paper made of Linnen Rags was first made at Basil, by some Greeks who fled out of their Country after the Saccage of Constantinople, A. D. 1452. in Imitation of the Cotton Paper, commonly used in the Levant. But this can have no force, our Paper being much older: For I have a piece, the Writing upon which feems to be about 250 years old, and agrees very well with a Charter which I have seen of Thomas Beuchamp Earl of Warwick, bearing date A. D. 1358. and 32 Edw III. In the Archives of the Library belonging to the RR. Dean and Chapter of Canterbury, I saw an Inventory of the Goods of Henry Prior of Christs Church there, taken upon his Decease, (as I remember) the 20th year of K. Edward III. and this is written upon Paper. Cottonian Library, the fearthing after other matters, I could not but observe several Writings upon our Faper, in the time of most of our Kings and Queens, as high as the 15th of K. Edward III. and I doubt not but that there are others more antient in the same place. But in the East, the use of Cotton Paper is much more antient, and I have in the Bodleyan Library, seen an Arabick Manuscript (amongst those which the University bought of Dr Huntingdon) written in the 427th of the year Hegira, i. e. A.D. 1049, and others in the same place without Dates, seem older.

The Proximity of the subject, causes me here to take notice, that tho the Invention of the Rolling Press is commonly ascribed to Lipsius; yet it seems older than his time, from a printed Book in the Bodleyan Library, placed LAVD. D. 138. This is a Missale secundum usum Ecclesia Herbipolensis (i. e. Wurtzburg in Germany.) Rodolfus Arch Bishop of that Church sets forth in an Instrument at the beginning of the book, the reasons why he caused this Missal to be published, which Instru-

ment bears date the 8th of November 1481.by which time he orders all the Copies to be finished by Forins Ryser his Printer, who feems to have done to, fince his Name, and this Year 1481, is writtenat the end of the Book, instead of a Seal to this Instrument is an Engraven Print, being the Arms of the See supported by 2 Angels, and St Kilian (its first Bishop and Protector) behind; as also this Prelate's own Arms with those of the See in another Escutcheon, and a very fine Mantling. This is extremely well engraven for the time, and equals the performances of some of our best Workmen at present. The evident marks of pressure by the Plate, with some touches of Ink at the Edges, the roughness of the Print, and other Circumstances concurring, I thought this must needs be wrought off at the Rolling-press. But being unwilling to rely too far upon my own Judgment, I shewed it to divers very knowing and curious Gentlemen, to several Printers, Engravers, and others working constantly at the Rolling Press, who all concurr'd (tho at different times, one not knowing what another had faid) that 'twas not only excellently well engraven (and this before Albert Durer's time ) but that it was certainly pull'd from the Rolling-Press, and could be done no other way. this Print was not done after that time, appears from several Notes written here and there in the Book. One of them specifies that William Kewsth, Vicar of St Bartholomew's Church in Wurtzburg, bought this Book the same year, 1481, paying 18 Florins for the Parchment, Printing, Rubrication, Illumination and Binding. By another it appears, that he gave it to his Church for ever. And by some others it appears that it remained there during the times of his several Successors, till the last Age, when, as I suppose, Swedes, under Gustavus Adolphus, plunder'd the Church, and brought it out.